

COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

The work with course evaluations and course reports constitutes a part of the faculty's quality assurance work in education at first-cycle and second-cycle education. The course report is a comprehensive documentation of the course evaluation and is an important instrument for the development of courses and programmes as well as for guaranteeing the students' influence on these. The course report takes into account the students' course evaluations, the teachers' views on the course's implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the intended learning outcomes of the course. Key figures, an analysis and a development plan for the course are also included in the course report.

It is of the utmost importance that students are given the opportunity to participate throughout the course evaluation process and that they make use of the opportunity to give constructive criticism. In this way, the results can serve as a relevant and specific foundation for improvement.

The structure for course evaluation is described in the "Course evaluation process for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Malmö University" (in Swedish *Kursvärderingsprocessen för utbildning på grundnivå och avancerad nivå vid Malmö universitet*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2018/123) and in the "Routines for course evaluations and course reports at the Faculty of Health and Society" (in Swedish *Rutiner för kursvärderingar och kursrapporter vid Fakulteten för hälsa och samhälle*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2016/187.

The course report compiled after each completed (full) course forms the basis for feedback to students and is followed up at quality dialogues at faculty- and university-wide level.

Background information (to be completed by the course administrator)

Course name				
Biointerfaces in Immunology				
Course code	Scope (credits)	Semester in which the course is completed		
BM821E	15	Spring 2025		
Specify the freestandi	•	e course has been completed within a prograr		
Biomedical Surface S	cience, Master's Programme (Two	-Year)		
Course coordinator		Number of registered students		
Jitka Petrlova		10		

Students' perspective (to be completed, if possible, by the course administrator or in some cases by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation/Momentary study climate assessment form for course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it has been carried out	
Number of students who have completed the formative course evaluation/momentary study climate assessment	Percentage response rate (the response rate should be indicated as a percentage when the formative course evaluation has been carried out via questionnaire, for example when conducting a momentary study climate assessment.)



COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

	ire) and when it was completed		
questionnaire 2025-06-05 - 2025-06-13			
Number of students who have completed	Response rate as a percentage (please indicate, withou		
the summative course evaluation (please	decimals, response rate both based on the number of		
indicate both the number of registered and	registered students and the number of active students		
the number of active students on the course)	on the course)		
5	50		
Feedback to students who have completed the co	ourse: describe how and when the feedback has been given		
	warser decorring from unit afficient the recomment has been given		
\square By email (will be send automatically, with or withou	t the course coordinator's comments, by the survey system 7 days		
after the survey is closed)			
\square By email (otherwise than above), how:			
☐ In Canvas, how:			
	evaluation is discussed during the student council		
meeting with student representatives.			
☐ In other way, how:			
in other way, now.			
Other comments about the feedback:			
other comments about the recaster.			
Feedback to new students on the upcoming cours	se: describe how feedback will be implemented		
☑ Presented at the start of the course, how: The c	course evaluation will be presented and discussed in		
ine course, now.	ourse evaluation will be presented and discussed in		
clace			
class.			
class. ☐ In other way, how:			
	rdinator)		
☐ In other way, how: er's perspective (to be completed by the course coor	rdinator) e results based on an assessment of the students' achieved		

activities, including recorded lectures, TBL-seminars, practical work and group assignments. The median total grade was a "C", for the written exam it was "C" but looking at the last project part it was "C". The results, together with the comments from students regarding if learning outcome has been reached, indicates that the structure of the course is appreciated and works well.

The results from the course clearly show that the students have had good use of the offered



COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

Analysis: Analysis based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations – both formative (if any), and summative evaluations. Produced in collaboration with the teachers involved in the course, alternatively by taking their views into account.

The students in general seem to appreciate the course and the scope of using TBL, during teaching/learning the theoretical part. Both the iRAT's and the tRAT's were very useful, according to students. The initial practical lab work also seem to be very useful for students, to simplify understanding of the theoretical part of immunology. The mixture of learning activities, such as group discussions, oral presentations, group seminars, commong practical work and self studies seem to be both useful and appreciated. Suggestions of having the theoretical exam directly after the TBL-part were put forward, as well as allowing for a written home exam. Changes of schedule that took place at some instances was not appreciated by all students. However, on the whole the course was considered to be abave of evrage, according to the course evaluation.

Course development and action plan: Course coordinator's suggestions for changes, comments and actions. Describe the relevant and possible changes to be implemented in the short and long term and when they are planned to be put into action. Specify who is responsible for the implementation: the course coordinator or another teacher. If a problem was identified, explain why nonetheless no consequent changes are warranted. Follow-up of measures proposed based on previous course report(s) should also be presented here.

Overall, the scope of the course seems to work well. Students suggested incorporating more current research into the recorded lectures, which will be considered for future iterations. The use of AI by students during report writing should be monitored to ensure it is handled appropriately and ethically. The project-based component of the course will continue to be included, as it is highly appreciated and provides students with a broad understanding of how theoretical knowledge can be applied in both research and development settings.

Publishing and archiving (arranged by course administrator)

Archiving and publication of the course report: where and when archiving and publication were completed		
Valen		

Course administrator

Name	Date
Åsa Nilsson	2025-06-30