

The work with course evaluations and course reports constitutes a part of the faculty's quality assurance work in education at first-cycle and second-cycle education. The course report is a comprehensive documentation of the course evaluation and is an important instrument for the development of courses and programmes as well as for guaranteeing the students' influence on these. The course report takes into account the students' course evaluations, the teachers' views on the course's implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the intended learning outcomes of the course. Key figures, an analysis and a development plan for the course are also included in the course report.

It is of the utmost importance that students are given the opportunity to participate throughout the course evaluation process and that they make use of the opportunity to give constructive criticism. In this way, the results can serve as a relevant and specific foundation for improvement.

The structure for course evaluation is described in the "Course evaluation process for first- cycle and second-cycle education at Malmö University" (in Swedish *Kursvärderingsprocessen för utbildning på grundnivå och avancerad nivå vid Malmö universitet*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2018/123) and in the "Routines for course evaluations and course reports at the Faculty of Health and Society" (in Swedish *Rutiner för kursvärderingar och kursrapporter vid Fakulteten för hälsa och samhälle*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2016/187.

The course report compiled after each completed (full) course forms the basis for feedback to students and is followed up at quality dialogues at faculty- and university-wide level.

Background information (to be completed by the course administrator)

Course name		
Artificial Biointerfaces		
Course code	Scope (credits)	Semester in which the course is completed
BM832E	15	Autumn 2025
Specify the freestanding course or contract education (if the course has been completed within a programme, specify the name of the programme)		
Biomedical Surface Science, Master's Programme (Two-Year)		
Course coordinator		Number of registered students
Sabrina Valetti		8

Students' perspective (to be completed, if possible, by the course administrator or in some cases by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation/Momentary study climate assessment form for course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it has been carried out	
Number of students who have completed the formative course evaluation/momentary study climate assessment	Percentage response rate (the response rate should be indicated as a percentage when the formative course evaluation has been carried out via questionnaire, for example when conducting a momentary study climate assessment.)
3	50%, considering that two students left the course during the course running
Summative course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it was completed	

questionnaire 2026-01-13 - 2026-01-21

Number of students who have completed the summative course evaluation (please indicate both the number of registered and the number of active students on the course)

3

Response rate as a percentage (please indicate, without decimals, response rate both based on the number of registered students and the number of active students on the course)

50%, considering that two students left the course during the course running

Feedback to students who have completed the course: describe how and when the feedback has been given

- ☐ By email (will be send automatically, with or without the course coordinator's comments, by the survey system 7 days after the survey is closed)
- ☐ By email (otherwise than above), how:
- ☒ In Canvas, how: **uploaded as announcement**
- ☐ Through a discussion in class, how:
- ☐ In other way, how:

Other comments about the feedback: the course report was reviewed together with the student representative of the course

Feedback to new students on the upcoming course: describe how feedback will be implemented

- ☐ Presented at the start of the course, how:
- ☒ In other way, how: **Shared on the Canvas page**

Teacher's perspective (to be completed by the course coordinator)

Results: Comments on the course implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the course intended learning outcomes are summarised here (incl. information regarding the result of the examination). Both success factors and problems are identified

Overall, students achieved intended learning outcomes to a high extent (mean 5.0). Learning activities, examinations, and course expectations also scored 5.0. Research basis and student responsibility were rated maximally (6.0). Workload and course materials were identified as weaker areas (3.3 and 3.7 respectively).

Analysis: Analysis based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations – both formative (if any), and summative evaluations. Produced in collaboration with the teachers involved in the course, alternatively by taking their views into account.

Students generally expressed that the course was valuable, enjoyable, and strongly educational, highlighting particularly the benefits of the research/presentation (R/P) sessions, the clear alignment between the written exam and project work, and the supportive input from teachers, PhD students, and postdocs.

Although students generally prefer not to take two courses in parallel, in this case they valued the clear and meaningful integration between BM832 and BM833—particularly how the statistics and ethics components strengthened and supported the project work.

However, they perceived the workload as higher than expected for a 66% course and noted group work imbalances. Canvas materials could be improved to better support learning.

Course development and action plan: Course coordinator's suggestions for changes, comments and actions. Describe the relevant and possible changes to be implemented in the short and long term and when they are planned to be put into action. Specify who is responsible for the implementation: the course coordinator or another teacher. If a problem was identified, explain why nonetheless no consequent changes are warranted. Follow-up of measures proposed based on previous course report(s) should also be presented here.

Short-term actions include revising workload distribution starting with involving the group supervisors. Improving Canvas structure (insertion of assignment tools instead of discussion tools), and standardizing group work expectations, opening the possibility to have individual project if there are specific needs supporting this decision. Long-term actions involve evaluating project distribution fairness and enhancing support materials. Course coordinator will lead implementation.

Publishing and archiving (arranged by course administrator)

Archiving and publication of the course report: where and when archiving and publication were completed

Valen

Course administrator

Name	Date
Åsa Nilsson	2026-02-03