

Course report Faculty of Technology and Society

The course report is based on the students' views and submitted course evaluations, exam results and the teachers' suggestions for development. The course report is published on the course page and on Canvas.

Course name	Degree project: Information architect and system developer
Course code	DA485A
Term	HT22
Number of registrants	68
Course manager	Nancy Russo

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The course report is published on the course's Canvas page
<input type="checkbox"/>	The course report is published on the course website

Course evaluation

Number of responses to mandatory course evaluation	3
--	---

Compulsory course evaluation has taken place through:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Only standard template via SSR (Sunet Survey and Report)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Standard template extended with own questions via SSR
<input type="checkbox"/>	Owned by the course manager
If the course evaluation took place under the auspices of the course supervisor, the procedure is described here.	

Any additional assessment steps that took place during the course

<input type="checkbox"/>	Separate survey
<input type="checkbox"/>	Orally in whole class
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Oral in less groups (in reflection seminars)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Another way
If "other way" is ticked above, the procedure is described here. In addition, students completed 4 written reflections on their coursework and their supervision sessions, which also provided valuable feedback regarding the course.	

Comments on course evaluations

Comments are written here

From the small number of course evaluations that were received, it seems that students appreciated the opportunity to work for clients and to have the freedom to make decisions about the project within the project team. The number of supervision sessions combined with two retrospectives were possibly too much, and some supervision sessions were too short. From the reflections that students wrote, it is clear that reflecting on their work is a good practice and something that should be continued.

Examination results

x	Examination results look as expected
	Examination results deviate from expectations
Comment is written here	

Recommendations and priorities for course development

To address the evaluation comments, the number of mandatory supervision sessions will be reduced, and more time will be allocated to each supervision meeting (30-45 versus 20 minutes). Reflections on project work will be done twice as written documents and once in the final individual reflection seminar presentation. Based on the positive responses from teams whose supervision sessions overlapped, time will be purposively allocated for this type of cross-team supervision so that teams can learn from each other.