Course report Faculty of Technology and Society

This course report is based on student feedback and submitted course evaluations, exam results and the teacher's idea for further development. The course report is published on the course website and Canvas-site.

Course name	Professional Interprsonal Communication in Computer Science and Media Technology
Course code	DA610E
Semester	HT2025
Number of registered students	44
Course coordinator	Patrik Berander

	Course report is published on Canvas-site
	Course report is published on course webpage

Compulsory course evaluation

Number of responses to the compulsory course evaluation	10

The compulsory course evaluation has been conducted through:

X	Standard template via Reflex
	Extended standard template with *own questions* via Reflex
X	Own evaluation method by the course coordinator
If own evaluation method was conducted, describe how: In-class survey and discussion. 23 participants.	

Additional evaluations that were conducted during the course

X	Separate survey
X	Oral evaluation in class
	Oral evaluation in smaller groups
	Other evaluation method
If other evaluation method was conducted, describe how:	

Comments on the course evaluations

Overall, students were very satisfied with the course, giving it an average rating of 8.3 out of 10 in the final survey. Among the different course components, oral communication and reflection activities received the highest ratings (8.1), while the introductory week (6.9) and written communication component (7.4) were rated lower. These results were consistent with discussions held with the students: positive feedback mainly focused on the oral component, whereas suggestions for improvement primarily concerned the introductory week and the written assignments. Students also noted that the feedback component could be further developed, extending beyond a brief introduction and general guidelines.

Students expressed appreciation for the teaching team, particularly the involvement of multiple instructors with varied teaching styles. The course workload also appeared to be well balanced overall, with students reporting an average of 37.2 hours per week. However, there was considerable variation in the time invested, which is expected given differing levels of prior experience with academic work such as writing and referencing.

In summary, students were generally very satisfied with the course, though there are some areas that could be improved in future iterations.

Examination results

X	Examination results are as expected
	Examination results are not as expected
Write comments here	

Recommendations and priorities for the course development

At a general level, the course can continue largely in line with previous iterations. However, some areas for improvement should be considered:
- Further refine both the introductory component and the written communication module
- Place slightly greater emphasis on feedback within the oral communication component (potentially by reducing or removing the networking segment)
- Involve a smaller, more consistent group of teachers across the course. Ideally, the same team should be engaged throughout the different sessions in the oral communication module

Instructions

The instructions part of the course report is only intended as support for the course coordinator to create the course report and the pages below are to be removed before the publication of the report.

Course name refers to the complete course name as listed in the syllabus, e.g. *Computer Science: Research Methodology or Introduction to Programming and Embedded Systems.*

Course code refers to the identification code of the course, e.g. *DA350A or MT158A.*

Semester refers to the semester that is referenced in the course report, *e.g. Spring 20 or Autumn 19.*

Number of registered students refers to the number of registered students three weeks after the start of the course (meaning the number of registered students after early withdrawals).

Course coordinator refers to the name of the teacher who is the course coordinator and who is responsible for writing the course report. The names of other teachers who may have been involved in the implementation of the course and compilation of the course report are not stated in the report.

It must be registered in the course report that it is published on the course website and the current course's Canvas page. This is filled in by the person responsible for the publication of the report.

Course evaluation

Number of responses to compulsory course evaluation refers to the number of students who submitted a course evaluation or who actively participated if an alternative evaluation method was used (this section is to be filled in by the study administration if the course evaluation is carried out by the study administration via SSR).

Compulsory course evaluation has been conducted **through** refers to the approach that has been used for the course evaluation. The chosen approach is indicated by checking one of the three listed options — only one option should be checked:

• *Standard template via SSR (Sunet Survey and Report):* This is the template that is set up by the study administration unless the study administration for the course has been instructed otherwise. Check this option if you used the standard template via the study administration without making any adjustments.

• *Extended standard template with own questions via SSR*: Check this option if you have extended the study administration's standard template with your own

course-specific questions. The added questions do not need to be reported here. They are archived as part of the course evaluation.

• **_Own evaluation method by the course coordinator:_** Check this alternative if the course evaluation has not been carried out using one of the two alternatives above. The course evaluation has been set up by the course coordinator without the study administration. In this case, the course coordinator is also responsible for summarising and compiling the course evaluation. If the course coordinator has chosen their own method to conduct the course evaluation, the method must be described briefly. The specific questions do not need to be reported here but must be reported in the course evaluation summary which is done by the course coordinator. E.g. _The course evaluation has been conducted anonymously on paper in connection with presentations at the end of the course_ or _The course evaluation has been conducted anonymously with Mentimeter in connection with the lecture in week 22._

If any additional evaluations have been conducted, they are reported as described below. It is not necessary to carry out additional evaluations. If no additional evaluations have been conducted, this section is left blank.

Additional evaluations that were conducted during the course refers to any other organised evaluations aside from the compulsory course evaluation that might be included in the course report. ”Organised” in this case means that the evaluation has been announced to the students in advance, so that they know that an evaluation is taking place and that they will have the opportunity to express their opinion at this occasion. This section does not refer to any spontaneous discussions with students or viewpoints given that may have taken place and that are included in the course report, instead this section only refers to any additional, formally organized evaluations, where students were given the opportunity to evaluate the course. There are four options — it is possible to check more than one option:

• **_Separate survey_** refers to whether one or more formally organised surveys have been conducted that involve some form of course evaluation. Surveys can be conducted digitally, via e.g. Canvas or Mentimeter, or by handing out paper surveys.

• **_Oral evaluation in class_** refers to whether there have been one or more formally organised opportunities for students to give oral feedback and/or to discuss their opinion on the course in the whole class.

• **_Oral evaluation in small groups_** refers to whether there have been one or more formally organised opportunities for students to give oral feedback and/or to discuss their opinion on the course in smaller groups than the whole class where each student has more space to express their opinions.

• *Other evaluation method* refers to any other formally organised evaluations that may have been carried out in another way than the three alternatives listed above. If so, the method needs to be described briefly.

Comments on the course evaluations means that the course coordinator must comment on the results of the course evaluations. The comments are aimed at current and future students on the course. The reader can be expected to have knowledge of the course's structure and organisation. It is therefore not necessary to explain the different course activities (or similar) in the comments section. Relevant things to comment on are, for example, whether there were any unexpected evaluation results or whether there are any results or occurring criticism that may need to be explained or put into context.

Examination results
Examination results refers to results from all types of examinations that have been conducted on the course (e.g. in-class exams, laboratory work, assignments, etc.). Indicate whether the examination results were overall as expected or not.

If some types of examinations differ greatly in how they turned out in relation to the expected result (for example, the expected number of students passed a written exam but only a very low number of students passed an assignment), then both options can be checked.

If the examination results deviate from what was expected, it must be commented on and indicated what reasons might be responsible for the deviation. Even in cases where the examination results are as expected, it might be necessary to comment if there are special aspects that need to be highlighted.

Recommendations and priorities for the course development
Briefly state which recommendations and priorities should be made for the upcoming course based on the results of the course evaluations and in relation to the examination results.