

COURSE REPORT – Summary of course evaluation

Background information (To be completed by the course administrator)

Course LADOK code: GP620L	Scope (hp): 7.5
Course title: Decision Making, States and Institutions	
Course coordinator: Astrid Hedin	Number of registered students: 24
Semester in which the course is conducted: VT26	
Is the course an independent course, programme course or contract course? If the course has been completed within a programme, enter the programme name. SASGP Programme course term 2	

Forms of evaluation and feedback (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation, for example dialogue during the course (optional)	Approx. number of students who participated in formative course evaluation(s): 7
Summative course evaluation (obligatory) <input type="checkbox"/> Only via Canvas <input type="checkbox"/> Canvas and other form <input type="checkbox"/> Only other form (written and/or oral)	Number of students who participated in the summative course evaluation: 6

Student's perspective (To be completed by the course coordinator)

<p>Summary of the students' oral and written feedback:</p> <p>The course received overall high ratings and good reviews Some spontaneous comments on the summative course evaluation, organized online by the department were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Was an amazing add on to the policy analysis course • Seminar participation was great. • Great. Very new approach compared to other exams in the programme therefore the guidance offered through the video on canvas helped a lot. • My transition from 1st seminar to last seminar was a pleasant experience. <p>What has been especially good about the course?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Choosing cases ourselves and applying theories and concepts • Astrids way of teaching, the course literature and the structure of the seminars which allowed for a head start of the essay exam • The seminars • I think instead that other courses should be more like this as I think it would benefit us in our later working life
--

Teacher's perspective (To be completed by the course coordinator)

<p>Summary of the teacher's views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This was a very pleasant and interested group to teach. • Attendance was overall low. The group that did attend were active and interested.
--

Action plan (To be completed by the course coordinator)

The underlying analysis and the action plan should be based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations, views from teachers in the course and the knowledge development in the research field. If identified problems are left without action, this should be motivated.

The following changes are planned in the short and long term:

WHAT should be done, WHO should do it and WHEN should it be done?

- The same course format will be retained for next year, as it seems it worked well.
- Given the low attendance, making a short video for Canvas that explains the examination format was a good idea – which will be repeated next spring.

Remember to orally feedback the results of the course evaluation to

- the students who have completed the course evaluation
- the students of the next course round, i.e. the next time the course is given