COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION
The Faculty of Health and Society

The work with course evaluations and course reports constitutes a part of the faculty's quality assurance work in education at first-cycle and second-cycle education. The course report is a comprehensive documentation of the course evaluation and is an important instrument for the development of courses and programmes as well as for guaranteeing the students' influence on these. The course report takes into account the students' course evaluations, the teachers' views on the course's implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the intended learning outcomes of the course. Key figures, an analysis and a development plan for the course are also included in the course report.

It is of the utmost importance that students are given the opportunity to participate throughout the course evaluation process and that they make use of the opportunity to give constructive criticism. In this way, the results can serve as a relevant and specific foundation for improvement.

The structure for course evaluation is described in the "Course evaluation process for first- cycle and second-cycle education at Malmö University" (in Swedish *Kursvärderingsprocessen för utbildning på grundnivå och avancerad nivå vid Malmö universitet*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2018/123) and in the "Routines for course evaluations and course reports at the Faculty of Health and Society" (in Swedish *Rutiner för kursvärderingar och kursrapporter vid Fakulteten för hälsa och samhälle*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2016/187.

The course report compiled after each completed (full) course forms the basis for feedback to students and is followed up at quality dialogues at faculty- and university-wide level.

Background information (to be completed by the course administrator)

Course name		
Criminology: Violence Risk Assessment		
Course code	**Scope (credits)**	**Semester in which the course is completed**
KA832E	7,5	Autumn 2023
Specify the freestanding course or contract education (if the course has been completed within a programme, specify the name of the programme)		
Master's Program in Criminology + freestanding course		
Course coordinator		**Number of registered students**
Linn Persson		51

Students' perspective (to be completed, if possible, by the course administrator or in some cases by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation/Momentary study climate assessment form for course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it has been carried out	
- Ongoing oral check-ups and discussions with students during lectures and seminars about how their studies were going, question time, and time were they could raise opinions about the whole course or certain parts of it.	
Number of students who have completed the formative course evaluation/momentary study climate assessment	**Percentage response rate** (the response rate should be indicated as a percentage when the formative course evaluation has been carried out via questionnaire, for example when conducting a momentary study climate assessment.)
- Around 30	- Not applicable

COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION
The Faculty of Health and Society

Summative course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it was completed	
questionnaire 2023-11-02 - 2023-11-10	
Number of students who have completed the summative course evaluation (please indicate both the number of registered and the number of active students on the course)	**Response rate as a percentage** (please indicate, without decimals, response rate both based on the number of registered students and the number of active students on the course)
13	25

Feedback to students who have completed the course: describe how and when the feedback has been given

☐ By email (will be send automatically, with or without the course coordinator's comments, by the survey system 7 days after the survey is closed)
☐ By email (otherwise than above), how:
☒ In Canvas, how: In an uploaded summary of the evaluation
☐ Through a discussion in class, how:
☐ In other way, how:

Other comments about the feedback: No

Feedback to new students on the upcoming course: describe how feedback will be implemented

☐ Presented at the start of the course, how:
☐ In other way, how:

Teacher's perspective (to be completed by the course coordinator)

Results: Comments on the course implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the course intended learning outcomes are summarised here (incl. information regarding the result of the examination). Both success factors and problems are identified

Many of the students got C or above in the final exam, and also stated in the course evaluation that they thought that they achived the learning outcomes of the course (mean 4.6). They thought that the litterature was good and that they also had some influence over their learning.

Analysis: Analysis based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations – both formative (if any), and summative evaluations. Produced in collaboration with the teachers involved in the course, alternatively by taking their views into account.

As a whole, they students seemed satisfed with the course. They raised that they liked guest lecturers and the teacher, but also asked for even more guest lecturers from different fields working with risk assessments. They also raised conerns abut the final paper/examination, that a part of it was to discuss ROC (which there were no full lecture on but instead discussed at seminars). I (the teacher/course-coordinatior) have been aware of some problems related to the final paper, and been in discussion about it with the previous course-coordinator, and same thing with the ROC-part of the course. However, since this was the last time the course was given in this format, i decided to not make major changes to it.
Course development and action plan: Course coordinator's suggestions for changes, comments and actions. Describe the relevant and possible changes to be implemented in the short and long term and when they are planned to be put into action. Specify who is responsible for the implementation: the course coordinator or another teacher. If a problem was identified, explain why nonetheless no consequent changes are warranted. Follow-up of measures proposed based on previous course report(s) should also be presented here.
Not applicable since the course will be a reading course next time it is given, but I would invite more guest lecturers, have a full lecture on ROC and change the examination form and task.

Publishing and archiving (arranged by course administrator)

Archiving and publication of the course report: where and when archiving and publication were completed
Valen + Share

Course administrator

Name	**Date**
Åsa Nilsson	2023-11-20