

The work with course evaluations and course reports constitutes a part of the faculty's quality assurance work in education at first-cycle and second-cycle education. The course report is a comprehensive documentation of the course evaluation and is an important instrument for the development of courses and programmes as well as for guaranteeing the students' influence on these. The course report takes into account the students' course evaluations, the teachers' views on the course's implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the intended learning outcomes of the course. Key figures, an analysis and a development plan for the course are also included in the course report.

It is of the utmost importance that students are given the opportunity to participate throughout the course evaluation process and that they make use of the opportunity to give constructive criticism. In this way, the results can serve as a relevant and specific foundation for improvement.

The structure for course evaluation is described in the "Course evaluation process for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Malmö University" (in Swedish *Kursvärderingsprocessen för utbildning på grundnivå och avancerad nivå vid Malmö universitet*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2018/123) and in the "Routines for course evaluations and course reports at the Faculty of Health and Society" (in Swedish *Rutiner för kursvärderingar och kursrapporter vid Fakulteten för hälsa och samhälle*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2016/187.

The course report compiled after each completed (full) course forms the basis for feedback to students and is followed up at quality dialogues at faculty- and university-wide level.

**Background information** (to be completed by the course administrator)

<b>Course name</b>		
Criminology: Criminal Careers and Life Course Perspectives		
<b>Course code</b>	<b>Scope (credits)</b>	<b>Semester in which the course is completed</b>
KA912E	7,5	Autumn 2022
<b>Specify the freestanding course or contract education (if the course has been completed within a programme, specify the name of the programme)</b>		
Criminology, Master's Programme (Two-Year) + Freestanding		
<b>Course coordinator</b>		<b>Number of registered students</b>
Marie Väfors Fritz		53 (52 students active on Canvas)

**Students' perspective** (to be completed, if possible, by the course administrator or in some cases by the course coordinator)

<b>Formative course evaluation/Momentary study climate assessment</b> form for course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it has been carried out	
N/A	
<b>Number of students who have completed the formative course evaluation/momentary study climate assessment</b>	<b>Percentage response rate</b> (the response rate should be indicated as a percentage when the formative course evaluation has been carried out via questionnaire, for example when conducting a momentary study climate assessment.)

<b>Summative course evaluation</b> (oral or questionnaire) and when it was completed	
Questionnaire 2022-11-11	
<b>Number of students who have completed the summative course evaluation</b> (please indicate both the number of registered and the number of active students on the course)	<b>Response rate as a percentage</b> (please indicate, without decimals, response rate both based on the number of registered students and the number of active students on the course)
45	85%

<b>Feedback to students who have completed the course: describe how and when the feedback has been given</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> By email (will be send automatically, with or without the course coordinator's comments, by the survey system 7 days after the survey is closed) <input type="checkbox"/> By email (otherwise than above), how: <input type="checkbox"/> In Canvas, how: <input type="checkbox"/> Through a discussion in class, how: <input type="checkbox"/> In other way, how:  Other comments about the feedback:

<b>Feedback to new students on the upcoming course: describe how feedback will be implemented</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Presented at the start of the course, how: On the introduction I always inform the students about what last cohort of students, and previous years too, so they understand that the course usually develops from the students input. <input type="checkbox"/> In other way, how:

**Teacher's perspective** (to be completed by the course coordinator)

<p><b>Results:</b> Comments on the course implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the course intended learning outcomes are summarised here (incl. information regarding the result of the examination). Both success factors and problems are identified</p>
<p>The seminars this year were not obligatory. The students were given study questions the first day of the course and all questions were addressed and discussed (departing from the assigned literature) on the different seminars. The students were also informed that these questions are the ones that will make up the exam. Less than half the students showed up to those. Learning outcomes are met for most in the course, in the evaluation those questions got about 4,5. About 10 student did not receive a passing grade after the first exam, however supprisingly 9 students received an A in the</p>

course, and 19 got a B, so a lot of students got high grades in this cohort, perhaps due to the different ways of approaching the exam compared to previous years.

Critique about the course being too structured from one student while another thought it was wonderful. Two students needed to have more time to prepare for the exam. One student found the seminars uninspiring...

**Analysis:** Analysis based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations – both formative (if any), and summative evaluations. Produced in collaboration with the teachers involved in the course, alternatively by taking their views into account.

11 students wrote in the open ended questions and half were positive and some negative, I will discuss with my colleagues on good strategies to move forward based on students input but also based on departments chosen direction.

**Course development and action plan:** Course coordinator's suggestions for changes, comments and actions. Describe the relevant and possible changes to be implemented in the short and long term and when they are planned to be put into action. Specify who is responsible for the implementation: the course coordinator or another teacher. If a problem was identified, explain why nonetheless no consequent changes are warranted. Follow-up of measures proposed based on previous course report(s) should also be presented here.

Look over how the seminars play out, perhaps find a more pedagogical way to activate the students. Also, if I am the course responsible for next year's course I am going to try to learn Inspira so that the exam can be created and made in there.

**Publishing and archiving (arranged by course administrator)**

<b>Archiving and publication of the course report:</b> where and when archiving and publication were completed
--

Share + Valen
---------------

**Course administrator**

Name	Date
Åsa Nilsson	