

COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

The work with course evaluations and course reports constitutes a part of the faculty's quality assurance work in education at first-cycle and second-cycle education. The course report is a comprehensive documentation of the course evaluation and is an important instrument for the development of courses and programmes as well as for guaranteeing the students' influence on these. The course report takes into account the students' course evaluations, the teachers' views on the course's implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the intended learning outcomes of the course. Key figures, an analysis and a development plan for the course are also included in the course report.

It is of the utmost importance that students are given the opportunity to participate throughout the course evaluation process and that they make use of the opportunity to give constructive criticism. In this way, the results can serve as a relevant and specific foundation for improvement.

The structure for course evaluation is described in the "Course evaluation process for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Malmö University" (in Swedish *Kursvärderingsprocessen för utbildning på grundnivå och avancerad nivå vid Malmö universitet*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2018/123) and in the "Routines for course evaluations and course reports at the Faculty of Health and Society" (in Swedish *Rutiner för kursvärderingar och kursrapporter vid Fakulteten för hälsa och samhälle*), Ref. no. LED 1.3-2016/187.

The course report compiled after each completed (full) course forms the basis for feedback to students and is followed up at quality dialogues at faculty- and university-wide level.

Background information (to be completed by the course administrator)

Criminology: Contemporary Criminology				
KA922E	7,5	Spring 2024		
Specify the freestandi specify the name of the	_	e course has been completed within a program		
Masters' Programme	e in Criminology			
Course coordinator		Number of registered students		
Kim Möller		43		

Students' perspective (to be completed, if possible, by the course administrator or in some cases by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation/Momentary study climate assessment form for course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it has been carried out			
N/A			
Number of students who have completed the formative course evaluation/momentary study climate assessment	Percentage response rate (the response rate should be indicated as a percentage when the formative course evaluation has been carried out via questionnaire, for example when conducting a momentary study climate assessment.)		
24	56		



COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

Summative course evaluation (oral or questionnaire) and when it was completed			
Questionnaire 2024-04-25 - 2024-05-03			
Number of students who have completed the summative course evaluation (please indicate both the number of registered and the number of active students on the course)	Response rate as a percentage (please indicate, without decimals, response rate both based on the number of registered students and the number of active student on the course)		
24	56		
 □ By email (will be send automatically, with or without after the survey is closed) □ By email (otherwise than above), how: ☑ In Canvas, how: This course report will be shall 	the course coordinator's comments, by the survey system 7 days		
Through a discussion in class, how:In other way, how:			
Other comments about the feedback:			
Feedback to new students on the upcoming cours	e: describe how feedback will be implemented		
☐ Presented at the start of the course, how:			

Teacher's perspective (to be completed by the course coordinator)

☐ In other way, how: Posted on the Canvas page for the 2025 course

Results: Comments on the course implementation and the results based on an assessment of the students' achieved learning outcomes in relation to the course intended learning outcomes are summarised here (incl. information regarding the result of the examination). Both success factors and problems are identified

Learning outcome achievement received a disappoitingly low score of 2.7 with an SD of 1.2. Similarly, work/learning activities were not sufficiently supportive with a mean score of 2.1. The examinaition form, with several mandatory presentations and groups assignment only score 2.6 but with more variation, SD = 1.5. The course in general did not meet student's expectations to a high degree, scoring only an average of 1.9. Students did, however, think they had plenty of opportunity to take responsibility for their own learning, as was the intention with the course design. This scored 5.1. The course was assessed as very research-based with a mean student assessment of 3.8. Workload was also appropriate at 3.4. Most students say they worked between 11 and 30 hours weekly on the course. The group work was positively received, scoring 4.6 on average. Many students would have liked more support from teachers with a mean score of 2.9. The information provided on the course



COURSE REPORT – COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF COURSE EVALUATION

The Faculty of Health and Society

was not sufficiently clear, scoring only 1.8. Students' experienced the course as a very safe conversational environment, 4.1.

Analysis: Analysis based on a summary of the students' individual course evaluations – both formative (if any), and summative evaluations. Produced in collaboration with the teachers involved in the course, alternatively by taking their views into account.

This was the first year with the new design of the course. The implementation of the redesign was not satisfactory. Students' responses were luke warm on several of the indicators.

Course development and action plan: Course coordinator's suggestions for changes, comments and actions. Describe the relevant and possible changes to be implemented in the short and long term and when they are planned to be put into action. Specify who is responsible for the implementation: the course coordinator or another teacher. If a problem was identified, explain why nonetheless no consequent changes are warranted. Follow-up of measures proposed based on previous course report(s) should also be presented here.

The course coordinator and teacher on the course have already discussed some of the issues we experienced with the course design. We have planned a meeting at the beginning of the fall term 2024 to adresse these and make improvements for next years iteration of the course.

Publishing and archiving (arranged by course administrator)

Archiving and publication of the course report: where and when archiving and publication were completed			
Valen			

Course administrator

Name	Date
Åsa Nilsson	2024-05-13