

COURSE REPORT

Background information (To be completed by course administrator)

Course LADOK code: KD414A	Scope (hp): 15,0 hp
Course title: Interaction Design: Interactivity	
Course coordinator: Heyer Clint	Number of registered students: 33
Semester in which the course is conducted: Autu	ımn 2023
Is the course an independent course, programme been completed within a programme, enter the	

Administration's perspective (To be completed by course administrator)

				•
The administration's view	ws:			

Forms of evaluation and feedback (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation: Discussion at programråds	Number of students who participated in the course evaluation: Two class representatives, on behalf of their colleagues
Summative course evaluation: In person discussion, online survey	Number of students who participated in the course evaluation: 5 people at the in-person discussion, 9 people completed the survey
Feedback to students: Discussion during programråds and in-class	s summative evaluation.

Student's perspective (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Summary of the students' course evaluations: (The five university-wide questions should be included:

Some of the comments during the in-class evaluation included

- Rather than the essay session, just more time is needed to write perhaps
- Studio space is hard for some people to concentrate in due to busyness and noise. But for others it's the opposite.
- Different tactics from faculty in terms of feedback, would be useful to be explicit
 about intent of feedback. Some people take the Socratic style as being vague, while
 others have found this useful to spur their own thinking.
- Example code useful. People differ with how much they want explained 'up front'.
 The general consensus seems a light introduction at the beginning and more details in the following days.
- Would be useful to have a 'recap' of topics from *Programming*.

	hat extent do you belie ndatory)	eve you've achieve	d the course lear	ning objectives?	А	9 100%
	VERY SMALL EXTENT					VERY LARGE EXTENT
	0	1	1	4	1	2
	extent do you believe re for the learning out			tivities have helpe	ed A	9 100%
	VERY SMALL EXTENT					VERY LARGE EXTENT
	0	2	1	5	0	1
	extent do you conside rate the learning out			allowed you to		Answers 9
To what e	rate the learning out			allowed you to	. 1	9 100% VERY LARGE
To what e	rate the learning out				. 1	9
To what a demonst	VERY SMALL EXTENT	comes? (Mandato	- (4	2	1	9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT
To what a demonst	VERY SMALL EXTENT	comes? (Mandato	- (4	2	1	9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT 0 Answers
To what a demonst	VERY SMALL EXTENT 1 extent has the course	comes? (Mandato	- (4	2		9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT 0 Answers 9 100%
To what demonst	VERY SMALL EXTENT 1 extent has the course VERY SMALL EXTENT	e as a whole met	your expectation	ns? (Mandatory)	. 1	9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT 0 Answers 9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT
To what demonst	VERY SMALL EXTENT 1 extent has the course VERY SMALL EXTENT 2 extent has the course	e as a whole met	your expectation	ns? (Mandatory)	. 1	9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT 0 Answers 9 100% VERY LARGE EXTENT 0 Answers 9

Teacher's perspective (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Summary of the teacher's views/Results:

Overall it seemed like good design work and outcomes in assessment.

This year we had major issues with the pairwise collaboration between students, for unclear reasons. Many had to work alone or essentially carry a partner that was often absent or otherwise not participating.

The thematics of the course seemed to work well, with a tight and clear progression between the modules, at the cost of engaging more in physicality.

Analysis and action plan (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Analysis:

This year there was a conscious effort to further tone down the level of programming. The materials being worked with were more straightforward and less concepts were introduced. This seems to have helped, but as always the enjoyment of the course is largely contingent on the level of fluency with code.

We also had teaching assistants to help with programming, but the experience was that students were not taking full advantage of it. Likewise with scheduled supervision periods: there were busy times, but very often few students in the studio, or people leaving early.

Action plan:

Participation is a problem. If students are not in the class getting help, it leads to frustration. It certainly felt like there was more supply than demand. A possibility here is to add frequent milestones or more formalized "check ins" along the way to increase accountability.



Publishing and archiving (To be handled by the course administrator)

\square The course report is published, and the students have been informed about the publication,
igspace The course report is archived according to the university's archiving rules,
\square The course report is shared with the programme coordinator (if applicable),
✓ The course report is saved according to any additional requests on behalf of the department.