

# **Course report Faculty of Technology and Society**

This course report is based on student feedback and submitted course evaluations, exam results and the teacher's idea for further development. The course report is published on the course website and Canvas-site.

Course name	Global Product Development
Course code	MT295E
Semester	HT22
Number of	14 (13 participated)
registered students	
<b>Course coordinator</b>	Christina Bjerkén

Course report is published on Canvas-site
Course report is published on course webpage

# **Compulsory course evaluation**

Number of responses to the compulsory course evaluation 2

The compulsory course evaluation has been conducted through:

x		Standard template via SSR (Sunet Survey and Report)
		Extended standard template with own questions via SSR
		Own evaluation method by the course coordinator
If own evaluation method was conducted, describe how:		

# Additional evaluations that were conducted during the course

	Separate survey	
	Oral evaluation in class	
	Oral evaluation in smaller groups	
Χ	Other evaluation method	
If other evaluation method was conducted, describe how:		
Cor	Continuous discussions with students and within the teacher team	

### **Comments on the course evaluations**

Write comments here

The course went relatively well, and all students passed.

Only two students responded to the course evaluation. They seemed satisfied with the course and find it relevant and important. Two suggestions: More on campus and better support/pressure to make the group works progress as fast expected and with quality. No really negative things mentioned.

### **Examination results**

x	Examination results are as expected	
	Examination results are not as expected	
W	Write comments here	
A	ll 13 active students passed, in some cases after having to revise the group	
pr	roject report.	

### Recommendations and priorities for the course development

The course is on-line but would benefit from meeting in person as well. Some teachers and all guest lecturers will only be available online also in the future, but for autumn 2023 at least some of the activities will be in hybrid from.

The schedule incl. all guest lectures must be set earlier. (However, there were some sad private matters that interfered this year)

The individual project logs didn't serve its purpose well. Another type of individual examination task will be introduced next year.

The group project needs more "strict instructions" especially regarding the expectations of the technical parts. One change in that direction is that a real prototype or a proxy must be produced.

A better plan for the supervision occasions will be made. Specifik tasks should be presented should be presented and discussed at each occasion, eg. including hand-ins showing progress.