COURSE REPORT – Summary of course evaluation

Background information (To be completed by the course administrator)

Course LADOK code: ST631L	**Scope (hp): 30**
Course title: Political Science: Master's Thesis	
Course coordinator: Mikael Spång	**Number of registered students:** 12
Semester in which the course is conducted: VT24	
Is the course an independent course, programme course or contract course? If the course has been completed within a programme, enter the programme name. Programme course within SASGP Political Science: Global Politics, Master's Programme (Two-year)	

Forms of evaluation and feedback (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Formative course evaluation, for example dialogue during the course (optional) N/A	**Approx. number of students who participated in formative course evaluation(s):**
Summative course evaluation (obligatory) X☐ Only via Canvas ☐ Canvas and other form ☐ Only other form (written and/or oral)	**Number of students who participated in the summative course evaluation: 2**

Student's perspective (To be completed by the course coordinator)

Summary of the students' oral and written feedback:

Question 1.
To what extent do you feel you have achieved the course's intended learning outcomes?
1 0 (0%)
2 0 (0%)
3 0 (0%)
4 0 (0%)
5 1 (50%)
6 1 (50%)

Question 2.
To what extent do you feel the course's working methods /learning activities have been a support in your learning to achieve the intended learning outcomes?
1 0 (0%)
2 0 (0%)
3 0 (0%)
4 1 (50%)
5 0 (0%)
6 1 (50%)

Question 3.

To what extent do you feel the course's examination forms have given you the opportunity to show how well you have achieved the intended learning outcomes?
1 0 (0%)
2 0 (0%)
3 0 (0%)
4 0 (0%)
5 0 (0%)
6 2 (100%)

Question 4.
To what extent do you feel the course has met your expectations in general?
1 0 (0%)
2 0 (0%)
3 0 (0%)
4 0 (0%)
5 1 (50%)
6 1 (50%)

Question 5.
To what extent has the course given you the opportunity to take responsibility for your own learning?
1 0 (0%)
2 0 (0%)
3 0 (0%)
4 0 (0%)
5 0 (0%)
6 2 (100%)

Question 6.
What has been especially good about the course?
The supervisors! Personally I'm very pleased with my supervisor and all his support. I've also heard from other students who share the same sentiment.
The organisational structure of the course

Question 7.
What can be developed in the course? Please give some concrete suggestions/ideas.

I did appreciate the first two hand ins, although I didn't feel like all students had the time (or prioritized) reading through all drafts, therefore not leading to great discussions. Smaller seminar groups might be to prefer in the future. Additionally, it would've been great if the instructions for the opposition seminar was more clear. Eg. concerning time/thesis, structure, etc.

Question 8.
How many hours / week have you spent on your studies?
Less than 20 hours 0 (0%)
Between 20-30 hours 1 (50%)
40 hours or more 1 (50%)

Question 9.
Please summarise your overall experience of the learning infrastructure

(e.g. Canvas learning platform, Library, GPS administration, university facilities)
No replies.

Teacher's perspective **(To be completed by the course coordinator)**

Summary of the teacher's views:
It is always difficult to draw conclusions on few answers but overall, the course went well., students submitting their thesis in May 2024 fulfilling the intended learning outcomes. 8 students submitted their thesis at this time, which is a rather high number.

Action plan **(To be completed by the course coordinator)**

No major changes planned but the comment about smaller seminar groups during thesis draft discussions will be considered for next time the course runs. The instructions for the opposition seminar will be amended in accordance with the suggestion.